

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,029	08/30/2001	George Troubounis	10925-003-99	6304
75	90 02/26/2003			
Thomas D Kohler			EXAMINER	
Pennie & Edmonds 3300 Hillview Avenue			LITHGOW, THOMAS M	
Palo Alto, CA	94304		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1724	6
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003	$\mathcal{O}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/889,029	TROUBOUNIS ET AL.				
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	Thomas M. Lithgow	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	 s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)  Office Action	on Summary THOMAS M. L.T.	SOW Part of Paper No. 6				

Application/Control Number: 09/889,029

<sup>4</sup> Art Unit: 1724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The PCT Amendment dated 08-30-2001 is entered and fully considered in the present Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C.
 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, section b1), the phrase "the edge (24) of the filter tank" lacks antecedent basis. Further in section b), it is unclear if the phrase "with a closed and an open end" refers to the channel or the filter tank. Suggested language might be – filter tank (22), said filter tank (22) having opposed closed and open ends and an upper edge (24)--.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/889,029

\* Art Unit: 1724

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-3 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daniels (US 3472383).

Daniels includes sloped channel 8 with screw 9 in a filter tank 7 with drum filter 1. The screw 9 terminates in a closed lower end of the tank while the rotary drum filter is at the open upper portion of the tank. The material intake 5 is between the open upper end and the closed lower end.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 13, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daniels (US 3472383) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kelsey (US 2699872). The use of disk or drum type filters in a tank is shown by Kelsey to be functionally equivalent (Fig 1-3—disk filter and Fig. 4 as a drum filter). Further Daniels specifically says that the use of various types of filters besides a rotary drum filter is also applicable

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/889,029

\*Art Unit: 1724

in his invention. One of ordinary skill could easily determine the obvious utility of disk filters in the Daniels' invention when considering the disclosures of the two patents as a whole.

- Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 7. unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Salisbury (US 1283925). The use of a mesh or screen like construction in a tank rotary disk filter is taught by Salisbury (Fig. 6). To so modify the prior art disk filter of Kelsey also a rotary disk tank filter would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since such construction is well known to be effective as taught by Salisbury.
- Claims 4-12 and 19-22 are not rejected over the prior art of record. 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Thomas M. Lithgow at telephone number 703-308-0173.

Thomas M. Lithgow **Primary Examiner** 

TIM. Setter

Art Unit 1724